

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2002 LB 1236

not attended to this problem much because it...while it has produced, you know, large sums of revenue and we don't rely on it, it has not been a burden on us. Otherwise, it's been sort of a...a non sequitur. It's just been something off on its own, and it's operated there quietly, and there has been a diminishment, I think, of the impact of the fair over time as there are competitors, and now we are in this strange situation that we find ourselves with the fair. There are two basic proposals as you listen to Senator Wehrbein and Senator Pederson. Senator Pederson, I think, says, make the State Fair an agency, draw it into state government further. And rather than using a contractual relationship with a nonstate entity to manage a state asset, make the responsibility and management of a state asset the responsibility of the state, state agency. Senator Wehrbein, I think, is essentially saying, and I'll let him correct me if I'm wrong, we have a...an entity out there that's not been performing as well as they would like. I...I think that would be true, but also as well as we'd like. And we need to refurbish that relationship and give it a certain amount of time to see where it's going in the near future, short time lines, and yet some greater oversight and try to make the existing mechanism work better because it's not working well enough. I...I...that's what I regard as the Wehrbein agenda. I look at it somewhat differently. I think those are two legitimate approaches; but, to me at least, there's a third approach. And the third approach is the marketplace. This land, I would think, would be terrifically valuable land (laugh). The structures may have limited value for anything other than the fair that they are now, but they have some value. My guess is the state has a very valuable asset in its hands for which we are getting a very modest return in the last several years, and now we're actually in a deficit situation. Because we have this strange hybrid of the State Fair Board, we have only one contractual partner to do the other half of the management of the state assets business, and that's the State Fair Board. We have given this valuable state asset to one contractual partner. We have not used the idea of competition. We haven't used the idea of competitive bid. We haven't used the idea of what would happen if we moved this off the state...the state profit and loss statement, net worth statement, if you will, and moved it into the private sector. I